The High Power Impulse Magnetron Sputtering (HiPIMS) Discharge

Jón Tómas Guðmundsson

University of Michigan – Shanghai Jiao Tong University Joint Institute, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China and Science Institute, University of Iceland, Iceland tumi@hi.is

The 3rd International Conference on Microelectronics and Plasma Technology Dalian, China, July 5., 2011

Introduction



- Magnetron sputtering has been the workhorse of plasma based sputtering methods for over three decades
- For many applications a high degree of ionization of the sputtered vapor is desired
 - controlled ion bombardment of the growing film
 - ion energy can be controlled by a negative bias applied to the substrate
 - collimation enhanced step coverage
- Ionized flux of sputtered vapor therefore introduces an additional control parameter into the deposition process

Outline

- Magnetron Sputtering Discharge
- Ionized Physical Vapor Deposition (IPVD)
- High power impulse magnetron sputtering discharge (HiPIMS)
 - Power supply
 - Electron density
 - Plasma dynamics
 - Electron energy
 - Ionization fraction
 - Ion energy
 - Deposition rate
 - Applications
- Summary



Planar Magnetron Sputtering Discharge

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Planar Magnetron Sputtering Discharge



For a typical dc planar magnetron discharge

- pressure of 1 10 mTorr
- a magnetic field strength of 0.01 0.05 T
- cathode potentials 300 700 V
- average power 200 600 W
- electron density in the substrate vicinity is 10¹⁵ 10¹⁷ m⁻³

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- \blacksquare low fraction of the sputtered material is ionized \sim 1 %
- the majority of ions are the ions of the inert gas
- the sputtered vapor is mainly neutral

Planar Magnetron Sputtering Discharge



- In magnetron sputtering discharges increased ionized flux fraction is achieved by
 - a secondary discharge between the target and the substrate (rf coil or microwaves)
 - reshaping the geometry of the cathode to get more focused plasma (hollow cathode)
 - increasing the power to the cathode (high power pulse)
- Common to all highly ionized magnetron sputtering techniques is a very high density plasma

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー シック

- When the flux of ions is higher than the flux of neutrals or Γ_i > Γ_m the process is referred to as ionized physical vapor deposition (IPVD)
- The metal ions can be accelerated to the substrate by means of a low voltage dc bias
 - The metal ions arrive at the substrate at normal incidence and at specific energy
 - The energy of the ions can be tailored to obtain impinging particles with energies comparable to typical surface and molecular binding energies

Ionizing the sputtered vapor has several advantages:

■ improvement of the film quality, increased film density (Kusano (2006) 49th SVC, p. 15, Lim et al. (2000) JVSTA 18 524,

Samuelsson et al. (2010) SCT 202 591)

- improved adhesion (Ehiasarian et al. (2007) JAP 101 054301)
- improved surface roughness (Sarakinos et al. (2007) JPD 40 2108)
- deposition on substrates with complex shapes and high aspect ratio (Alami et al. (2005) JVSTA 23 278)
- phase tailoring (Alami et al. (2007) TSF 515 3434)
- guiding of the deposition material to the desired areas of the substrate (Bohlmark et al. (2006) TSF 515 1928)
- hysteresis free reactive sputtering has been demonstrated in a HiPIMS discharge (Wallin and Helmersson (2008) TSF 516 6398)

- The system design is determined by the average distance a neutral particle travels before being ionized
- The ionization mean free path is

$$\lambda_{\rm iz} = \frac{v_{\rm s}}{k_{\rm iz} n_{\rm e}}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

where

- *v*_s is the velocity of the sputtered neutral metal
- k_{iz} is the ionization rate coefficient
- *n*_e is the electron density

This distance has to be short

- v_s has to be low thermalize the sputtered flux increase discharge pressure
- $n_{\rm e}$ has to be high
- Typical parameters for argon gas and copper target

Gas	<i>v</i> _s [m/s]	<i>T</i> _e [V]	<i>n</i> _e [m ⁻³]	$\lambda_{\rm iz}$ [cm]	Discharge
Ar	1000 ^a	3	10 ¹⁷	162	
Ar	300	3	10 ¹⁷	49	dcMS
Ar	300	3	10 ¹⁸	4.9	ICP-MS/ECR-MS
Ar	300	3	10 ¹⁹	0.5	HiPIMS
Cu	300	1.5	10 ¹⁹	7.5	SSS-HiPIMS

^a(Britun et al. (2008) APL 92 141503)

 Another important parameter is the fraction of ionized metal flux

$$\frac{\Gamma_i}{\Gamma_i + \Gamma_n}$$

The ion flux to the substrate is

$$\Gamma_{\rm i} \approx 0.61 n_{\rm m+} u_{\rm B} \sim \sqrt{T_{\rm e}}$$

The flux of thermalized neutrals is

$$\Gamma_{\rm n} = \frac{1}{4} \textit{n}_{\rm m} \textit{v}_{\rm Th} \sim \sqrt{\textit{T}_{\rm g}}$$

- Since *T*_e ≫ *T*_g the fraction of ionized metal flux is larger than the fraction of ionized metal in the plasma
- It is not necessary to completely ionize the sputtered metal to create a highly ionized flux to the substrate

High Power Impulse Magnetron Sputtering (HiPIMS)

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

High Power Impulse Magnetron Sputtering (HiPIMS)

- In a conventional dc magnetron discharge the power density is limited by the thermal load on the target
- In a HiPIMS discharge a high power pulse is supplied for a short period
 - low frequency
 - Iow duty cycle
 - low average power
- The HiPIMS discharge uses the same sputtering apparatus except the power supply



HiPIMS - Power supply





- The high power pulsed discharge operates with a
 - Cathode voltage in the range of 500 2000 V
 - Current densities of 3 4 A/cm²
 - Power densities in the range of 1 3 kW/cm²
 - Average power 200 600 W
 - Frequency in the range of 50 5000 Hz
 - Duty cycle in the range of 0.5 5 %

HiPIMS - Power supply



200

From Sigurjonsson et al. (2009) 52nd SVC, p. 234

- The exact pulse shape is determined by the load
 - the discharge formed
 - it depends on the gas type and gas pressure

and the electronics of the power supply

High Power Impulse Magnetron Sputtering (HiPIMS) - Electrons

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

HiPIMS - Electron density



(After Bohlmark et al. (2005), IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. 33 346)

nac

- Temporal and spatial variation of the electron density
- Ar discharge at 20 mTorr, Ti target, pulse length 100 μ s
- The electron density in the substrate vicinity is of the order of 10¹⁸ 10¹⁹ m⁻³

HiPIMS - Electron density



(After Gudmundsson et al. (2002), SCT 161 249)

200

- The electron density versus time from the initiation of the pulse 9 cm below the target
- The pulse is 100 μs long and the average power 300 W and the target made of tantalum
- A strong initial peak appears
- A second peak appears later in time at higher pressure

HiPIMS - Plasma dynamics



From Gylfason et al. (2005) JPD 38 3417

- The electron saturation current as a function of location and time from pulse initiation
- The argon pressure was 5 mTorr and 20 mTorr, the target was made of titanium, and the pulse energy 6 J

HiPIMS - Plasma dynamics





- Each peak travels with a fixed velocity through the chamber
- The peaks travel with a velocity of 5.3×10^3 m/s at 1 mTorr, 1.7×10^3 m/s at 5 mTorr, and 9.8×10^2 m/s at 20 mTorr

HiPIMS - Plasma dynamics

- The plasma density versus time while varying the
 - sputtering gas
 - chamber dimension
 - distance to target
 - applied power
- The first peak appears immediately after the plasma ignition
- The peaks increase with increased applied power

From Alami et al. (2005) PSST 14 525



- コット (雪) (三) (三)

500

HiPIMS - Electron energy



From Gudmundsson et al. (2009) JAP 105 123302

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト

в

Sac

The electron energy probability function (EEPF) under the race-track 100 mm below the target for an argon discharge at 3 (dashed) and 20 (solid) mTorr with a copper target

HiPIMS - Electron energy



From Gudmundsson et al. (2009) JAP 105 123302

200

- The measured EEPF is Maxwellian-like during the pulse
 - high electron density leads to a Maxwellian-like low energy part of the EEPF
 - the depletion in the high energy part is due to the escape of high energy electrons to the chamber walls and inelastic collisions of high energy electrons
- The EEPF is more broad at low pressure and early in the pulse

HiPIMS - Electron energy



From Gudmundsson et al. (2009) JAP 105 123302

- Temporal variation of the effective electron temperature 100 mm below the target under the race-track (r = 40 mm)
- The electron energy decreases with increased discharge pressure

HiPIMS - Electron density - summary

The peak electron density is of the order of 10¹⁸ – 10¹⁹ m⁻³

Gudmundsson et al. (2001) APL **78** 3427 Gudmundsson et al. (2002) SCT **161** 249

- A monotonic rise in plasma density
 - with discharge gas pressure

Gudmundsson et al. (2002) SCT 161 249

- applied power Alami et al. (2005) PSST 14 525
- A linear increase in electron density with increased discharge current

Ehiasarian et al. (2008) JAP 104 083305



After Bohlmark et al. (2005)

HiPIMS - Electrons - summary

- The electron density depends on the target material
 - Cr target gives higher density than Ti Vetushka and Ehiasarian (2008) JPD 41 015204
- The peak electron density travels away from the target with fixed velocity

Gylfason et al. (2005) JPD 38 3417

 The electron energy distribution function (EEDF) during the pulse is Maxwellian-like

Gudmundsson et al. (2009) JAP 105 123302



After Bohlmark et al. (2005)

High Power Impulse Magnetron Sputtering (HiPIMS) - Ions

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- Conventional dc magnetron discharge -Pre-ionization - violet argon discharge
- HiPIMS discharge averaged over several pulses - green discharge characteristic of Cu vapour
- The Cu⁺ lines are only observed in HiPIMS mode



From Vašina et al. (2007) PSST 16 501

There have been conflicting reports on the fraction of ionized metal flux

- 70 % for Cu Kouznetsov et al. (1999) SCT 122 290
- 56 % for Cu Viček et al. (2007a) JVSTA 25 42
- 99 % for Ti Kudláček et al. (2008) PSST 17 025010
- 40 % for Ti_{0.5}Al_{0.5} Macák et al. (2000) JVSTA 18 1533
- 9.5 % for AI DeKoven et al. (2003) 46th SVC p. 158
- 4.5 % for C DeKoven et al. (2003) 46th SVC p. 158
- The degree of ionization
 - 90 % for Ti Bohlmark et al. (2005) JVSTA 23 18
- The fraction of ionized metal flux depends on applied power, pulse frequency and pulse length, and distance from the target



From Bohlmark et al. (2005)

- The ion flux versus time measured by a mass spectrometer (20 μs windows)
- The gas pressure was 3 mTorr, pulse energy 8 J and the target made of Ti
- Highly metallic ion flux during the active phase of the discharge



From Bohlmark et al. (2006) TSF 515 1522

Sac

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト

- The discharge develops from an argon dominated discharge to a metal dominated discharge during the active phase of the discharge.
- This has been observed both by optical emission spectroscopy and mass spectroscopy
- Cu-ions have been measured to be up to 92 % of the total ion flux at the substrate (Viček et al. (2007) EPL 77 45002)
- Ti-ions are up to 29 % of the total ion flux at the same conditions

(Kudláček et al. (2008) PSST 17 025010)







From Ehiasarian et al. (2002) Vacuum 65 o O

- During the initial stages of the pulse Ar⁺ ions dominate the discharge
- Later in the pulse metal ions build up and become the abundant ion species
- Multiply charged ions have been observed
- Significant fraction of the ion flux is Ti²⁺

Bohlmark et al. (2006) TSF 515 1522

 Ti⁴⁺ ions have been observed

Andersson et al. (2008) APL 93 071504



From Bohlmark et al. (2006) 515 1522



From Ehiasarian et al. (2002) Vacuum 65 147

HiPIMS - Multiply charged ions

- Multiply charged metal ions are crucial for the transition of the discharge from argon ion sputtering to self-sputtering
- Singly charged metal ions cannot create the secondary electrons necessary to maintain metal self-sputtering (γ_{SE} is practically zero)
- The first ionization energies of many metals are insufficient to overcome the workfunction of the target material

Anders et al. (2007) JAP 102 113303, Anders (2008) APL 92 201501



Anders (2008) APL 92 201501

HiPIMS - Ion energy

- The time averaged ion energy distribution for Ar⁺ and Ti⁺ ions
- The gas pressure was 3 mTorr, pulse energy 3 J and 10 J and the target made of Ti
- The ion energy distribution is broad to over 100 eV
- About 50 % of the Ti⁺ ions have energy > 20 eV



From Bohlmark et al. (2006) TSF 515 1522

Sac

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト

HiPIMS - Ion energy

- Significant fraction of the Ti⁺ ions are transported radially outwards
- Direction dependent high energy-tail



From Lundin et al. (2008) PSST 17 035021



Sac

HiPIMS - Charged particle transport

- It has been observed that the electron cross-B transport in HiPIMS discharges is much faster than classical collision theory predicts
- The diffusion coefficient is roughly a factor 5 greater than what Bohm diffusion would predict

Brenning et al. (2009) PRL 103 225003

Lundin et al. (2011) PSST 20 045003



From Lundin et al. (2011) PSST 20 045003

Gasless self-sputtering of copper has been demonstrated

Andersson and Anders (2009) PRL 102 045003

- This self-sputtering in vacuum can deliver extraordinarily high metal-ion current
- The usable ion current increased exponentially with increasing discharge voltage

81.102.045003.(2009)	PHYSICAL	REVIEW	LETTERS	week ending to taxitiany 2000
POL 1998 07-5700 100001				AV 17175-110-1 4000

Self-Sputtering Far above the Runaway Threshold: An Extraordinary Metal-Ion Generator

Joakim Anderson and André Anders me Brekeley National Laboratory / Cyclotrow Road, Berkeley, Cultiveria 94720, USA (Received 12 September 2008; published 27 January 2007)

When self-spanning is driven far above the manowy shrokold voltage, enceptic electrons are made available to grobacy "access plasmi" first frem the magnetize target. Instances bulknets considerations show that the accessful of jettics and driven the necessary energy to the "anness" area. Thereby, such a system cosh as a consolicitatly prefile generation of used in an other losses senses, the ion current is a substance can cocced the discharge current. For galaxs self-spatieting of copper, the sudd is on current sources expected any with the dischargy or ways.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.0450

PACS numbers: \$2.80 Vp. 52.25 Jm. 52.40 HL 81.15 Cd

Large fluxes of ions are of interest to a number of plasma-based technologies such as self-ion assisted deposition of films and high-current and large-area ion sources. The generation of large ion fluxes is a challenging task because plasma systems tend to produce just as many ions as necessary to maintain the discharge. Hence, only a small fraction of the generated ions can be utilized for processing. Among the most prolific generators of ions are cathodic are discharges, where the available ion current is generally quantified by normalizing it to the discharge current; the ratio is typically about 0.1 [1]. In this contrinetron sputtering (HIPIMS) can be an extremely prolific generator of metal ions that, under certain conditions, can deliver ion currents that even exceed the discharge current. We will show that this very high level is consistent with common marticle and energy balance considerations.

HIPDW was developed with regults as these perturbtions the spatial sectors and thereby provide a means for odd-to-assisted deposition of this (Brm, [2–5]). In proper data, spatial deposition of this (Brm, [2–5]), proved a study signal contrast, and a person the transneous data sectors and the spatial sectors are used as a study of the spatial sectors and the spatial entropy of the spatial sectors and the spatial sectors are closely entropy of the spatial sectors and and spatial metrics. [3, 1] because the initializing the magnetic in a gas atmosphere as high-proceed sectors and spatial sectors [3, 1] because a light proceed sectors and spatial sectors [3, 1] because and perform the spatial the magnetic in a gas atmosphere as high-proceed sectors of depositions (1) and sectors (1) because and the spatial sectors (1) because (1) bec

The correst-solary-effect characteristics of HIPMS dicharges in hadgened gas [10,11] who the for solar courty (see gradient layer) (100 μ m) are constant voltage, the correst may go through an auximum and then softs at an equilibrium value. The current reduction after the initial pools take to gas correlation. However, if the power drensity is high, the current reduction may look complexely different in that, at a set which effect the set the solution of the set of the set of the set of the set the solution of the set of the set of the set of the set the set of the set of

0031-9007/09/102(4)/045003(4)

self-spatning [7]. At the threshold, self-spatning are first list off and the self-spatnetic parameter exceeds utily, II = $\alpha J \gamma_{2N} > 1$, where α is the probability that a spattread attern is increased, β is the probability that a spatquitering yield. All three quantities are time dependent to the system of the proventies are study state, with the system of the proventies are study state, with an excesion constant of the proventies one study state.

Copper is a posterior markul for studying sensitied and/spattering because the solution distance, $\Pi = 1$, can be obtained at manageable, induities have posser densities (e.g. — 1 kW/mc) averaged over the target area. Recently, it was sharen that copper allows paleses high varuum) self-spattering to occer when the magnetized dicharge publics are "kicktattral" via short wasaran-are plasma public (11), whill focus they on "galdes" spattering because it avoids the modeling complicatione associated with plasma containing body aga and mark poetics.

The current to a negatively biased ion collector, i.e., large probe operating in the ion saturation current, is given by the area integral over the current density $t_i = \int f_i dA$, with the Bohm current [13]

$$j_i = 0.61 n_{i0} \tilde{Q} t \left(\frac{kT_{i0}}{m_j} \right)^{1/2}$$
, (1)

where a_{11} is the kin density at the edge of the behavior (since " m^{20}) of the cellscore D_{1} is the mean in or hange states rareflexe r is the elementary charge, $IZ_{10}(r_{10})I^{12}$ is the local ion scared valeicy which depends or the elementary charge. If $Z_{10}(r_{10})I^{12}$ is the local ion scared valeicy which depends or the elementary in the devices which depends or the elementary of the devices of the fit of the elementary of the device of the elementary of the

To determine the ion density in (1), we should consider the ion balance equation at the collector's sheath edge (omitting the index 0 for simplicity)

© 2009 The American Physical Society

High Power Impulse Magnetron Sputtering (HiPIMS) - Deposition rate

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

HiPIMS - Deposition rate

- Several groups report on a significantly lower deposition rate for HiPIMS as compared to dcMS
 - a factor of 2 lower deposition rate for Cu and Ti thin films

(Bugaev et al., 1996) XVIIth Symp. Disc. Elec. Ins. Vac., p. 1074

 a factor of 3 – 7 lower deposition rate for reactive sputtering of TiO₂ from a Ti target and AlO_x from an Al target

Davis et al. (2004) 47th SVC, p. 215, Sproul et al. (2004) 47th SVC, p. 96

- the reduction in deposition rate decreases with decreased magnetic confinement (weaker magnetic field) Bugaev et al. (1996)
- a detailed study of various target materials confirms a consistently lower deposition rate Samuelsson et al. (2010) SCT 202 591



From Samuelsson et al. (2010)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

HiPIMS - Deposition rate

- One explanation is that the sputtered material is ionized close to the target and many of the metallic ions will be attracted back to the target surface by the cathode potential
 - A reduction in the deposition rate would occur mainly for metals with a low self-sputtering yield
- The deposition rate in the self sputtering mode is lower than when argon sputtering is dominating



Horwat and Anders (2008) JPD 41 135210

HiPIMS - Deposition rate

- It has been claimed that the magnetic confinement influences the deposition rate Bohlmark et al. (2006) TSF 515 1928, Bugaev et al. (1996)
- A significant fraction of the ions of the sputtered material are transported sideways
 Lundin et al. (2008) PSST 17 035021
- Also when comparing dcMS and HiPIMS discharges at the same average power the non-linear scaling of the sputter yield with the applied voltage is not taken into account

Emmerlich et al. (2008) Vacuum 82 867

 The reduced deposition rate observed in the HiPIMS discharge is likely to be a combination of these factors



High Power Impulse Magnetron Sputtering (HiPIMS) - Applications

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Application - Trench filling



- Ta thin films grown on Si substrates placed along a wall of a 2 cm deep and 1 cm wide trench
 - conventional dc magnetron sputtering (dcMS)
 - high power impulse magnetron sputtering (HiPIMS)
- Average power is the same 440 W
- Substrate bias of 50 V
- They were compared by scanning electron microscope (SEM), transmission electron microscope (TEM)

Application - Trench filling



dc magnetron

HiPIMS

- dcMS grown films exhibit rough surface, pores between grains and inclined columnar structure, leaning toward the aperture
- Ta films grown by HiPIMS have smooth surface, and dense crystalline structure with grains perpendicular to the substrate

Other applications

- The HiPIMS gives consistently denser films
- This illustrates how the bombarding ions transfer momentum to the surface allowing the microstructure to be modified



From Samuelsson et al. (2010) SCT 202 591

HiPIMS - Applications

 HiPIMS has already been demonstrated on an industrial scale

(Ehiasarian et al., 2006) 49th SVC, p. 349

 Due to the absence of a secondary discharge in the reactor an industrial reactor can be upgraded to become IPVD device by changing the power supply



Summary

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー シック

Summary

- The design parameters for Ionized Physical Vapor Deposition (IPVD) were discussed
- The high power impulse magnetron sputtering discharge (HIPIMS) has been demonstrated as an Ionized Physical Vapor Deposition (IPVD) tool
- Power supply
 - Essentially the same sputtering apparatus except for the power supply
- Electron density
 - Roughly 2 orders of magnitude higher in the substrate vicinity than for a conventional dc magnetron sputtering discharge

Summary

Ionization fraction

- Ionization fraction is high, mainly due to the high electron density
- The ions on the inert gas and the ions of the sputtered vapor are separated in time
- Deposition rate
 - Deposition rate is lower than in a conventional dc magnetron sputtering discharge, maybe due to self sputtering

Acknowlegdements



http://www.raunvis.hi.is/~tumi/hipims.html

This work is a result of collaboration with

- Dr. Jones Alami (Linköping University, Sweden now INI Coatings, Germany)
- Dr. Johan Bohlmark (Linköping University, Sweden now Sandvik A.B. Sweden)
- Prof. Ulf Helmersson (Linköping University, Sweden)
- Prof. Nils Brenning (KTH Stockholm)
- Dr. Friðrik Magnus (University of Iceland)
- Dr. Daniel Lundin (Linköping University, Sweden)
- Petter Larsson (Linköping University, Sweden)
- Mattias Samuelsson (Linköping University, Sweden)
- Páll Sigurjónsson (University of Iceland now HS Orka)
- Kristinn B. Gylfason (University of Iceland now KTH Stockholm)

The photographs were taken by Árni S. Ingason, Páll Sigurjónsson, Kristinn B. Gylfason and Markus Baur.

This work was partially supported by the Icelandic Research Fund the University of Iceland Research Fund

and the Swedish Research Council.

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー 今へぐ

- Alami, J., P. Eklund, J. M. Andersson, M. Lattemann, E. Wallin, J. Bohlmark, P. Persson, and U. Helmersson (2007). Phase tailoring of Ta thin films by highly ionized pulsed magnetron sputtering. *Thin Solid Films* 515(7-8), 3434–3438.
- Alami, J., J. T. Gudmundsson, J. Bohlmark, J. Birch, and U. Helmersson (2005). Plasma dynamics in a highly ionized pulsed magnetron discharge. *Plasma Sources Science and Technology* 14(3), 525–531.
- Alami, J., P. O. A. Petersson, D. Music, J. T. Gudmundsson, J. Bohlmark, and U. Helmersson (2005). Ion-assisted physical vapor deposition for enhanced film deposition on non-flat surfaces. *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology A* 23(2), 278–280.
- Anders, A. (2008). Self-sputtering runaway in high power impulse magnetron sputtering: The role of secondary electrons and multiply charged metal ions. *Applied Physics Letters* 92(20), 201501.
- Anders, A., J. Andersson, and A. Ehiasarian (2007). High power impulse magnetron sputtering: Current-voltage-time characteristics indicate the onset of sustained self-sputtering. *Journal of Applied Physics 102*(11), 113303.
- Andersson, J. and A. Anders (2009). Self-sputtering far above the runaway threshold: An extraordinary metal-ion generator. *Physical Review Letters* 102(4), 045003.
- Andersson, J., A. P. Ehiasarian, and A. Anders (2008). Observation of Ti⁴⁺ ions in a high power impulse magnetron sputtering plasma. *Applied Physics Letters* 93(7), 071504.
- Bohlmark, J., J. Alami, C. Christou, A. P. Ehiasarian, and U. Helmersson (2005). Ionization of sputtered metals in high power pulsed magnetron sputtering. *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology A* 23(1), 18–22.
- Bohlmark, J., J. T. Gudmundsson, J. Alami, M. Latteman, and U. Helmersson (2005). Spatial electron density distribution in a high-power pulsed magnetron discharge. *IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science* 33(2), 346–347.
- Bohlmark, J., M. Lattemann, J. T. Gudmundsson, A. P. Ehiasarian, Y. A. Gonzalvo, N. Brenning, and U. Helmersson (2006). The ion energy distributions and ion flux composition from a high power impulse magnetron sputtering discharge. *Thin Solid Films 515*(5), 1522–1526.
- Bohlmark, J., M. Östbye, M. Lattemann, H. Ljungcrantz, T. Rosell, and U. Helmersson (2006). Guiding the deposition flux in an ionized magnetron discharge. *Thin Solid Films* 515(4), 1928–1931.
- Brenning, N., R. L. Merlino, D. Lundin, M. A. Raadu, and U. Helmersson (2009). Faster-than-bohm cross-B electron transport in strongly pulsed plasmas. *Physical Review Letters* 103(22), 225003.

- Britun, N., J. G. Han, and S.-G. Oh (2008). Velocity distribution of neutral species during magnetron sputtering by Fabry-Perot interferometry. *Applied Physics Letters* 92(14), 141503.
- Bugaev, S. P., N. N. Koval, N. S. Sochugov, and A. N. Zakharov (1996, July 21-26). Investigation of a high-current pulsed magnetron discharge initiated in the low-pressure diffuse arc plasma. In XVIIth International Symposium on Discharges and Electrical Insulation in Vacuum, 1996, Berkeley, CA USA, pp. 1074–1076. IEEE.
- Davis, J. A., W. D. Sproul, D. J. Christie, and M. Geisler (2004). High power pulse reactive sputtering of TiO₂. In SVC 47th Annual Technical Conference Proceedings, Dallas, TX, USA, pp. 215–218. Society of Vacuum Coaters.
- DeKoven, B. M., P. R. Ward, R. E. Weiss, D. J. Christie, R. A. Scholl, W. D. Sproul, F. Tomasel, and A. Anders (2003). Carbon thin film deposition using high power pulsed magnetron sputtering. In 46th Annual Technical Conference Proceedings, San Francisco, CA, USA, pp. 158–165. Society of Vacuum Coaters.
- Ehiasarian, A. P., R. New, W.-D. Münz, L. Hultman, U. Helmersson, and V. Kouznetzov (2002). Influence of high power densities on the composition of pulsed magnetron plasmas. *Vacuum 65*, 147–154.
- Ehiasarian, A. P., C. Reinhard, P. E. Hovsepian, and J. M. Colton (2006). Industrial-scale production of corrosion-resistant CrtN/NbN coatings deposited by combined high power impulse magnetron sputtering etching unbalanced magnetron sputtering deposition (HIPIMS/UBM) process. In SVC 49th Annual Technical Conference Proceedings, Washington DC, USA, pp. 349–353. Society of Vacuum Coaters.
- Ehiasarian, A. P., A. Vetushka, A. Hecimovic, and S. Konstantinidis (2008). Ion composition produced by high power impulse magnetron sputtering discharges near the substrate. *Journal of Applied Physics 104*(8), 083305.
- Ehiasarian, A. P., J. G. Wen, and I. Petrov (2007). Interface microstructure engineering by high power impulse magnetron sputtering for the enhancement of adhesion. *Journal of Applied Physics* 101(5), 054301.
- Emmerlich, J., S. Mráz, R. Snyders, K. Jiang, and J. M. Schneider (2008). The physical reason for the apparently low deposition rate during high power pulsed magnetron sputtering. *Vacuum 82*(8), 867–870.
- Gudmundsson, J. T., J. Alami, and U. Helmersson (2001). Evolution of the electron energy distribution and the plasma parameters in a pulsed magnetron discharge. *Applied Physics Letters* 78(22), 3427 3429.
- Gudmundsson, J. T., J. Alami, and U. Helmersson (2002). Spatial and temporal behavior of the plasma parameters in a pulsed magnetron discharge. Surface and Coatings Technology 161(2-3), 249–256.

Sar

- Gudmundsson, J. T., P. Sigurjonsson, P. Larsson, D. Lundin, and U. Helmersson (2009). On the electron energy in the high power impulse magnetron sputtering discharge. *Journal of Applied Physics* 105(12), 123302.
- Gylfason, K. B., J. Alami, U. Helmersson, and J. T. Gudmundsson (2005). Ion-acoustic solitary waves in a pulsed magnetron sputtering discharge. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics 38*(18), 3417–3421.
- Horwat, D. and A. Anders (2008). Spatial distribution of average charge state and deposition rate in high power impulse magnetron sputtering of copper. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics* 41(13), 135210.
- Kouznetsov, V., K. Macák, J. M. Schneider, U. Helmersson, and I. Petrov (1999). A novel pulsed magnetron sputter technique utilizing very high target power densities. Surface and Coatings Technology 122(2-3), 290–293.
- Kudláček, P., J. Vlekč, K. Burcalová, and J. Lukáš (2008). Highly ionized fluxes of sputtered titanium atoms in high-power pulsed magnetron discharges. *Plasma Sources Science and Technology* 17(2), 025010.
- Kusano, E. (2006). Modification of film structure in pulsed and inductively-coupled-plasma assisted pulse sputtering. In SVC 49th Annual Technical Conference Proceedings, Washington, DC, USA, pp. 15–20. Society of Vacuum Coaters.
- Lim, J.-W., H.-S. Park, T.-H. Park, J.-J. Lee, and J. Joo (2000). Mechanical properties of titanium nitride coatings deposited by inductively coupled plasma assisted direct current magnetron sputtering. *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology A* 18(2), 524–528.
- Lundin, D., P. Larsson, E. Wallin, M. Lattemann, N. Brenning, and U. Helmersson (2008). Cross-field ion transport during high power impulse magnetron sputtering. *Plasma Sources Science and Technology* 17(3), 035021.
- Lundin, D., S. A. Sahab, N. Brenning, C. Huo, and U. Helmersson (2011). Internal current measurements in high power impulse magnetron sputtering. *Plasma Sources Science and Technology 20*(4), 045003.
- Macák, K., V. Kouznetzov, J. M. Schneider, U. Helmersson, and I. Petrov (2000). Ionized sputter deposition using an extremely high plasma density pulsed magnetron discharge. *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology* A 18(4), 1533–1537.
- Magnus, F., A. S. Ingason, S. Olafsson, and J. T. Gudmundsson (2011). Growth and in-situ electrical characterization of ultrathin epitaxial TiN films on MgO. *Thin Solid Films* 519(18), 5861–5867.

- Samuelsson, M., D. Lundin, J. Jensen, M. A. Raadu, J. T. Gudmundsson, and U. Helmersson (2010). On the film density using high power impulse magnetron sputtering. *Surface and Coatings Technology 202*(2), 591–596.
- Sarakinos, K., J. Alami, and M. Wuttig (2007). Process characteristics and film properties upon growth of TiO_X films by high power pulsed magnetron sputtering. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics 40*(7), 2108–2114.
- Sigurjonsson, P., P. Larsson, D. Lundin, U. Helmersson, and J. T. Gudmundsson (2009). Langmuir probe study of the plasma parameters in the HiPIMS discharge. In *Society of Vacuum Coaters 52nd Annual Technical Conference Proceedings*, Santa Clara, CA, USA, pp. 234–239. Society of Vacuum Coaters.
- Sproul, W., D. J. Christie, and D. C. Carter (2004). The reactive sputter deposition of aluminum oxide coatings using high power pulsed magnetron sputtering (HPPMS). In SVC 47th Annual Technical Conference Proceedings, Dallas, TX, USA, pp. 96–100. Society of Vacuum Coaters.
- Vašina, P., M. Meško, J. C. Imbert, M. Ganciu, C. Boisse-Laporte, L. de Poucques, M. Touzeau, D. Pagnon, and J. Bretagne (2007). Experimental study of a pre-ionized high power pulsed magnetron discharge. *Plasma Sources Science and Technology* 16(3), 501–510.
- Vetushka, A. and A. P. Ehiasarian (2008). Plasma dynamic in chromium and titanium HIPIMS discharges. Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics 41(1), 015204.
- Vlček, J., P. Kudláček, K. Burcalová, and J. Musil (2007a). High-power pulse sputtering using a magnetron with enhanced plasma confinement. *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology A 25*(1), 42–47.
- Vlček, J., P. Kudláček, K. Burcalová, and J. Musil (2007). Ion flux characteristics in high-power pulsed magnetron sputtering discharges. *Europhysics Letters* 77(4), 45002.
- Wallin, E. and U. Helmersson (2008). Hysteresis-free reactive high power impulse magnetron sputtering. Thin Solid Films 516(18), 6398 – 6401.