On three different ways to quantify the degree of ionization in sputtering magnetrons
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Introduction – Magnetron sputtering

- Magnetron sputtering has been a highly successful technique that is essential in a number of industrial applications

- Conventional dc magnetron sputtering (dcMS) suffers from a low degree of ionization of the sputtered material

- High power impulse magnetron sputtering (HiPIMS) provides a highly ionized material flux, while being compatible with conventional magnetron sputtering deposition systems
High ionization of sputtered material requires very high density plasma.

In a conventional dc magnetron sputtering discharge the power density (plasma density) is limited by the thermal load on the target.

High power pulsed magnetron sputtering (HPPMS)

In a HiPIMS discharge a high power pulse is supplied for a short period:
- low frequency
- low duty cycle
- low average power

\[ p_t = 0.05 \text{ kW/cm}^2 \text{ dcMS limit} \]
\[ p_t = 0.5 \text{ kW/cm}^2 \text{ HiPIMS limit} \]
Introduction – fraction of ionization

In HiPIMS deposition, the high fraction of ionization of the sputtered species has been shown to lead to:

- the growth of smooth and dense films
- enable control over their phase composition and microstructure
- enhance mechanical and optical properties
- improving film adhesion
- enabling deposition of uniform films on complex-shaped substrates

For optimization of HiPIMS thin film deposition processes, quantification and control of the fraction of ionization of the sputtered species are for obvious reasons key requirements.
### Introduction – fraction of ionization

- The effect of ionization fraction on the epitaxial growth of Cu film on Cu(111) substrate explored using Molecular Dynamics simulation
- Three deposition methods
  - thermal evaporation, fully neutral
  - dcMS, 50 % ionized
  - HiPIMS, 100 % ionized
- Higher ionization fraction of the deposition flux leads to smoother surfaces by two major mechanisms
  - decreasing clustering in the vapor phase
  - bicollision of high energy ions at the film surface that prevents island growth to become dominant
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Fraction of ionization
Fraction of ionization

- Quantification and control of the fraction of ionization of the sputtered species are crucial in magnetron sputtering.
- We distinguish between three approaches to describe the degree (or fraction) of ionization:
  - The ionized flux fraction
    \[ F_{\text{flux}} = \frac{\Gamma_i}{\Gamma_i + \Gamma_n} \]
  - The ionized density fraction
    \[ F_{\text{density}} = \frac{n_i}{n_i + n_n} \]
  - The fraction \( \alpha \) of the sputtered metal atoms that become ionized in the plasma (probability of ionization)
There have been conflicting reports on the ionized flux fraction $F_{\text{flux}}$
- 70% for Cu (Kouznetsov et al., 1999)
- 40% for Ti$_{0.5}$Al$_{0.5}$ (Macak et al., 2000)
- 9.5% for Al (DeKoven et al., 2003)
- 4.5% for C (DeKoven et al., 2003)
- 20 – 60% for Ti (Kubart et al., 2014)
- 20 – 68% for Ti (Lundin et al., 2015)

The degree of ionization $F_{\text{density}}$
- 90% for Ti (Bohlmark et al., 2005)

The ionization flux fraction depends on applied power, discharge current density, pulse frequency and pulse length and the magnetic field strength.
There have been a number of reports demonstrating the lower deposition rate in HiPIMS when compared to dcMS operated at the same average power (Helmersson et al., 2006; Anders, 2010).

Samuelsson et al. (2010) compared the deposition rates from eight metal targets (Ti, Cr, Zr, Al, Cu, Ta, Pt, Ag) in pure Ar for both dcMS and HiPIMS discharges applying the same average power. They observed that the HiPIMS deposition rates were in the range of 30 – 85% of the dcMS rates depending on target material.
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Influence of magnetic field
Influence of magnetic field – Deposition rate

- The magnetic field distribution above the target for seven different magnet configurations: C0E0, C5E5 and C10E10, C0E5, C0E10, C5E0, and C10E

- For the configurations investigated, it was found that a magnetic null point was always present, which means that all configurations were categorized as unbalanced type II

- The magnetic null was used as a measure of the degree of balancing and is in the range 43–74 mm from the target surface above the target center
Influence of magnetic field – Deposition rate

- The HiPIMS discharge current and voltage waveforms recorded for various magnetic field configurations
  - (a) the discharge voltage in fixed voltage mode
  - (b) the discharge current in fixed voltage mode
  - (c) discharge current in fixed peak current mode

- The Ar pressure was set to 1 Pa

- In all cases the pulse width was 100 $\mu$s at an average power of 300 W
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Influence of magnetic field – Deposition rate

- The Ti deposition rate from both dcMS and HiPIMS discharges operated in fixed voltage mode and fixed current mode using various magnetic field configurations measured at 70 mm axial distance over center of cathode.
- The magnet configurations on the $x-$axis are ordered from high $|\mathbf{B}|$ at the left to low $|\mathbf{B}|$ on the right.
- The recorded $|B_{r,rt}|$ value above the race track is used as a measure of $|\mathbf{B}|$.
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The Ti ionized flux fraction in a HiPIMS discharge using various magnet configurations measured at 70 mm axial distance over the center of the cathode.

The magnet configurations on the $x-$axis are ordered from high $|\mathbf{B}|$ at the left to low $|\mathbf{B}|$ on the right.

The recorded $|B_{r,rt}|$ value above the race track is used as a measure of $|\mathbf{B}|$. 
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The ionized flux fraction decreases with decreasing $|B|$ when the HiPIMS discharge is operated in fixed voltage mode.

When operating in fixed peak current mode the ionized flux fraction $F_{\text{flux}}$ increases slightly with decreasing $|B|$.

In this case ionized flux fraction increases from 11% to 16.8% when comparing cases C0E0 and C5E5 (no data from C10E10), i.e. by a factor 1.5 when decreasing $|B|$.
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Influence of magnetic field – Deposition rate

- We derive a few general equations that relate the measured quantities deposition rate and the ionized flux fraction to the parameters $\alpha_t$ and $\beta_t$.

- Let us call the total flux (atoms/s) of atoms sputtered from the target $\Gamma_0$ and the flux of sputtered species (ions and neutrals) that leave the ionization region (IR) towards the diffusion region (DR) $\Gamma_{DR}$.

- The useful fraction of the sputtered species becomes

$$F_{DR} = \frac{\Gamma_{DR}}{\Gamma_0} = (1 - \alpha_t \beta_t)$$

- This equation indicates a reduced fraction of the sputtered species reaching the substrate when the ionization of the sputtered material increases.
Influence of magnetic field – Deposition rate

- Recall that the main drawback using HiPIMS is the low deposition rate.
- A relationship between the ionization flux fraction $F_{\text{flux}}$ and the parameters $\alpha_t$ and $\beta_t$ has been derived from the pathway model (Vlček and Burcalová, 2010; Butler et al., 2018)

$$F_{\text{flux}} = \frac{\Gamma_{\text{DR,ions}}}{\Gamma_{\text{DR}}} = \frac{\Gamma_0 \alpha_t (1 - \beta_t)}{\Gamma_0 (1 - \alpha_t \beta_t)} = \frac{\alpha_t (1 - \beta_t)}{(1 - \alpha_t \beta_t)}$$

where no additional ionization of the sputtered material in the diffusion region is assumed.

- Our goal is to assess how much $|B|$ and the magnetic field structure influence $\alpha_t$ and $\beta_t$, respectively.
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**Influence of magnetic field – Deposition rate**

- A graph that shows $F_{DR}$ on the horizontal axis, and $F_{flux}$ on the vertical axis.
- We have also plotted two sets of lines:
  - lines of constant $\beta_t$ with $\alpha_t$ varied from 0 to 1 (green dashed lines).
  - lines of constant $\alpha_t$, with $\beta_t$ varied from 0 to 1 (blue solid lines).
- Plotting the experimentally determined combinations of $F_{DR}$ and $F_{flux}$ in this plane gives us estimates of the corresponding values of $\alpha_t$ and $\beta_t$.
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We can derive an equation that gives the back attraction probability $\beta_t$ as a function of the measured quantities $F_{\text{flux}}$ and $F_{\text{DR}}$

$$\beta_t = \frac{1 - F_{\text{DR}}}{1 - F_{\text{DR}}(1 - F_{\text{flux}})}$$

and similarly we can derive an equation that gives $\alpha_t$ as a function of the measured quantities

$$\alpha_t = 1 - F_{\text{DR}}(1 - F_{\text{flux}}).$$
When operating in the fixed voltage mode (red) the ionization probability $\alpha_t$ increases with increased magnetic field strength.

When operating in the fixed peak current mode the ionization probability $\alpha_t$ is roughly constant independent of the magnetic field strength.

The back attraction probability is always high in the range 0.89 – 0.96 over the entire range of $B_{r,rt}$.

From Hajihoseini et al. (2019) *Plasma 2*.
In the fixed peak current mode (black) $\beta_t$ increases slightly with increased $|B|$ in the range 0.93 – 0.96 while $\alpha_t$ is almost constant in a narrow range 0.75 – 0.79

If we assume a linear increase in $\beta_t$ with $|B|$ the fraction $(1 - \beta_t)$ is roughly 30% higher at the highest $|B|$ than at the lowest $|B|$.

Recall that the total flux of ions of the sputtered material away from the target toward the substrate is

$$\Gamma_{\text{DR,ions}} = \alpha_t (1 - \beta_t) \Gamma_0$$
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Summary
Summary

- For HiPIMS in the fixed voltage mode: A trade-off between the deposition rate (decreases by more than a factor of two) and the ionized flux fraction (increases by a factor 4 to 5) with increasing $|B|$
- For HiPIMS in the fixed peak current mode: Decreasing $|B|$ improves both the deposition rate (by 40%) and the ionized flux fraction (by 60%)
- When operating in the fixed peak current mode the ionization probability of the sputtered species is roughly constant while the parameter $(1 - \beta_t)$ increases roughly 30% with decreasing $|B|$
- When operating a HiPIMS discharge in fixed voltage mode the ionization probability $\alpha_t$ is varied by $|B|$ and $\beta_t$ remains roughly constant, while in the fixed peak current mode $\beta_t$ varies with $|B|$ and $\alpha_t$ remains roughly constant
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